Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/733,573	SHIMAKAWA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Barbara J. Musser	1733	

All Participants:

(1) *Barbara J. Musser*.

(2) *Ben Hauptman*.

Status of Application: *Allowed*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *27 June 2006*

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1 and 5

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Applicant agreed to changes to link the body of the claims to the preamble and to fix grammar and 112, 2nd issues.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)